## RECEIVED

#### MOTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2255 FOR FEDERAL PRISONERS

JUL 1 2 2013

(Persons Sentenced in the District of Montage Chily) DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MONTANA
BILLINGS, MONTANA

Division

#### In the United States District Court for the District of Montana

BILLINGS

Name of Defendant/Mov LASHAWN JERMAINE J	Prisoner No.: 41481-048	
United S		
Place of Confinement:	FCI/MENDOTA P.O. BOX 9 Mendota, CA. 93640	Case No. CR:  CR-06-079-BLG-JD

### Instructions - Read Carefully

- 1. Use this form to challenge a conviction or sentence entered in the United States District Court for the District of Montana. There is no filing fee. You need not apply to proceed in forma pauperis to file this motion.
- 2. Your motion must be legibly handwritten or typed. You must tell the truth and sign the form. If you falsely state a material fact, you may be prosecuted for perjury and/or your motion may be dismissed. Answer all the questions. You are not necessarily required to answer "yes" to all questions in order to proceed.
- 3. Use additional pages if necessary to state grounds for relief and/or facts you rely on to support relief. No brief or authorities need be furnished. If you choose to submit a brief, please file it with your motion, but make it a separate document.
- 4. If you challenge more than one judgment, please file a separate motion as to each.

Motion 28 U.S.C. § 2255
Page 1 of 6

- 5. The Court may determine your claims based solely on what is in this motion. You must include in the motion all grounds for relief you want the Court to review and all facts supporting such grounds for relief. If you do not set forth all grounds in this motion, you may be barred from presenting additional grounds later. 28 U.S.C. §§ 2244(a), (b), 2255(f), (h).
- 6. When you complete your motion, mail the *original* (no copies required) to the United States District Court Clerk in the Division where you were convicted:

Billings Division: Clerk of U.S. District Court, 2601 2nd Ave. North, Suite 1200, Billings,

MT 59101

Butte Division: Clerk of U.S. District Court, Mike Mansfield Courthouse, 400 N. Main,

Butte, MT 59701

Great Falls Division: Clerk of U.S. District Court, Missouri River Courthouse, 125 Central Ave.

West, Great Falls, MT 59404

Helena Division: Clerk of U.S. District Court, Paul G. Hatfield Courthouse, 901 Front St.,

Ste. 2100, Helena, MT 59626

Missoula Division: Clerk of U.S. District Court, Russell Smith Courthouse, 201 East

Broadway, P.O. Box 8537, Missoula, MT 59807

# MOTION TO VACATE, SET ASIDE, OR CORRECT THE SENTENCE 28 U.S.C. § 2255

1. What	were yo	u con	victed	of? Co	oun	t 1: 2	1 USC	§ 846	; Cou	ınt 2:	21	USC §
841;	Count	3 21	USC	§ 84°	1;	Count	7: 21	USC §	841	; Cou	nt 8	3 USC
§ 924(	(c).				•							

2.	Have you previously filed or have	e you ever been deemed to have f	iled a motion
	under § 2255 in this case?		

Yes	
No	ĸ

	(a)	If Yes, have you received authorization from the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals to file a second or successive motion?
		Yes □ No □
	(b)	Date authorization received:
	(c)	Ninth Circuit case number:
	(d)	Please attach a copy of the Ninth Circuit's order.
	•	u previously filed in a federal court a petition for writ of habeas corpus to the sentence you challenge in this motion?
	Yes No	
	(a)	If Yes, date filed:
	(b)	Name of court where petition was filed and case number:
	(c)	Grounds raised:
	(d)	Court's decision and date:
	•	u previously filed with the Bureau of Prisons any request or grievance of the execution of the sentence you challenge in this motion?
	Yes No	
	(a)	If Yes, nature of your request or grievance:
	(b)	Grounds raised:
Defe	endant-Move	ant's Last Name JOHNSON Motion 28 U.S.C. § 2255 Page 3 of 6

(c)		ult, date of sion:	decision, a	ınd job titl	e of the pe	erson	who mad	le the	
Groun	nd One:	ound on whe Confronta ch ground	tion Claus	se violatio	on). Brief	fly sı	ummarize		
		PETITIONE CONSTITUT							ERY VIOLATION.
(i) Su	pporting	g Facts: SE	E ATTACH	IED SUPP	LEMENTAL	BRI	EF/MEMO	DRANDUM	OF LAW
·									
(ii)	Did you	u raise this	ground for	r relief in y	your direct	appe	eal?		-
	Yes No	□ <b>£</b> 3							
$\inf_{Not}$	Yes, wh applic	y shouldn' cable, no	t this Cour ot raised	t follow th l on app	e Court of	f App	eals' dec	ision?	
If This	No, why √was∵no	/ didn't you ot raised	ı raise this l due to	ground fo the ine	r relief in y ffective	your eness	direct app of app	peal? pellate	counsel
CREDI	BLE ANI	UNDERCOVE ANY EVEN	DENCE OF	BTAINED	MUST BE	REJE	ECTED AS	S INADM	ISSIBLE
Defendant-l	Movant's La	ast Name JOI	INSON		_		Motion 2	8 U.S.C. § 22 Page 4 o	

Case 1:06-cr-00079-DLC Document 166 Filed 07/15/13 Page 5 of 10	
(ii) Did you raise this ground for relief in your direct appeal?	
Yes □ No KX	
If Yes, why shouldn't this Court follow the Court of Appeals' decision? Not Applicable, not raised on direct appeal.	
If No, why didn't you raise this ground for relief in your direct appeal? This was not raised due to ineffective assistance of counsel appeal. SEE: Issue Number One.	on
Ground Three: LACK OF A VALID AUTHENTICATED "CHAIN OF EVIDENCE" FOR THE DRUGS SEIZED RENDERS THE EVIDENCE USELESS AS PROVEN BY THE DISCREPANCY IN DRUG AMOUNT OF GEVERNMENT EXHIBIT # 600.  (1) Supporting Facts:	ЭR
SEE ATTACHED SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF/MEMORANDUM OF LAW.	
(ii) Did you raise this ground for relief in your direct appeal?	
Yes  No XX	
If Yes, why shouldn't this Court follow the Court of Appeals' decision? Not Applicable, not raised on direct appeal.	
If No, why didn't you raise this ground for relief in your direct appeal?  This was not raised due to ineffective assistance of counsel appeal.	on

Please state any additional grounds for relief by attaching pages,

Motion 28 U.S.C. § 2255 Page 5 of 6 Attached Pagase McO61670000778-PLO8 Dogourgento166, Filede07/15/43 ofage 6 of 10

- D. Ground Four: INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL AT TRIAL.
  - (1) Supporting Facts:

SEE ATTACHED SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF/MEMORANDUM OF LAW.

- (2) Did you raise this ground for relief in your direct appeal?

  Reply: NO.
- IF yes, why shouldn't this Court follow the Court of Appeals' decision?

  Not applicable, nto raised on direct appeal.
- If NO, why didn't yourraise this ground for relief in your direct appeal?

  Reply: per Supreme Court, § 2255 is the appropriate venu for IAC.
- E. Ground five INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL ON DIRECT APPEAL.
  - (1) Supporting Facts:

    SEE ATTACHED SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF/MEMORANDUM OF LAW.
  - (2) Did you raise this ground for relief in your direct appeal?

    Reply: NO
  - If Yes, why shouldn't this Court follow the Court of Appeals decision?

    Not applicable, self-explanatory.
  - If No, why didn't you raise this ground for relief in your direct appeal?

    Reply: Not applicable, self-explanatory.
- F. Ground Six: VIOLATION OF THE TENTH AMENDMENT OF THE CONSTITUTION.
  - (1) Supporting Facts:

SEE ATTACHED SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF/MEMORANDUM OF LAW.

- (2) Did you raise this ground for relief in your direct appeal?

  Reply: NO.
- If Yes, why shouldn't this Court follow the Court of Appeals decision?

  Reply: Not applicable, not raised in direct appeal.
- If No, why didn't you raise this ground for relief in your direct appeal?

  Reply: Due to my appointed counsel's lack of knowledge of teh <u>BOND</u>

  case cited in my supplemental brief.

- Attached Pagese Mobio 1000 1700 Downsent 2156, Filed 07515/13 Arage. 7 of 10
- G. Ground Seven: THE GOVERNMENT VIOLATED THE DUE-PROCESS PROVISIONS OF

  THE FIFTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS BY ALLOWING THE

  JURY TO SEE THE DEFENDANT IN HANDCUFFS AND SHACKLES

  DURING TRIAL.
  - (1) Supporting Facts:

SEE ATTACHED SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF/MEMORANDUM OF LAW

- (2) Did your raise this ground for relief in your direct appeal?

  Reply: No.
- If Yes, why shouldn't this Court follow the Court of Appeals decision?

  Not applicable, not raised on direct appeal.
- If No, why didn't you raise this ground for relief in your direct appeal?

  Reply: This was not raised dut to a violation of the Petitioners

  due-process rights under Brady v. Maryland.
- H. Ground Eight: INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL AT PLEADING.
  - (1) Supporting Facts:

SEE ATTACHED SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF/MEMORANDUM OF LAW.

- (2) Did you raise this ground for relief in your direct appeal?

  Reply: No.
- If yes, why shouldn't this Court follow the Court of Appeals' decision?

  Reply: Not applicable, not raised on direct appeal.
- If No, why didn't you raise this ground for relief in your direct appeal?

  Reply: This was not raised on appeal due to ineffective assistance

  of counsel during direct appeal.

- Attached Page 4,196-6-70978-PL 28 Dosument 166, Filed 07615/13 Page 8 of 10
- I. Ground Nine: INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL AT RESENTENCING.
  - (1) Supporting Facts:

    SEE ATTACHED SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF/MEMORANDUM OF LAW.
  - (2) Did you raise this ground for relief in your direct appeal?

    Reply: No.
  - If Yes, why shouldn't this Court follow the Court of Appeals' decision?

    Not applicable, not raised on direct appeal.
  - If No, why didn't you raise this ground for relief in your direct appeal?

    Reply: This was not raised on direct due to ineffective assistance of counsel during direct appeal.
- J. Ground Ten: INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL AS TO 3582 (c) (2) MOTION UNDER TEH FAIR SENTENCING ACT.
  - (1) Supporting Facts:

    SEE ATTACHED SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF/MEMORANDUM OF LAW.
  - (2) Did you raise this ground for relief in your direct appeal?

    Reply: No.
  - If Yes, why shouldn't this Court follow the Court of Appeals' decision?

    Reply: Not applicable, not raised on direct appeal.
  - If No, why didn't you raise this ground for relief in your direct appeal?

    Reply: This ground was not raised on appeal due to ineffective

    assistance of counsel during the direct appeal.

Attached Pagaese Miolo 6 ior 7000 70 de DL 28 Dissour Ser 22556, Filagle 07/15/41 3 offage, 9 of 10

- K. Ground Eleven: THE GOVERNMENT VIOLATED TEH DUE-PROCESS PROVISIONS

  OF THE FIFTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS BY WITHHOLDING EVIDENCE.
  - (1) Supporting Facts:

    SEE ATTACHED SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF/MEMORANDUM OF LAW.
  - (2) did you raise this ground for relief in your direct appeal?

    Reply: No.
  - If Yes, why shouldn't this Court follow teh Court of Appeals decision?

    Reply: Not applicable, not raised on direct appeal.
  - If No, why didn't you raise this ground for relief in your direct appeal?

    Reply: This was not raised due to a violation of the Petitioners

    due-process rights under Brady v. Maryland.

following the format of questions (i)-(ii) as above.

Defendant/Movant asks the Court to grant the following relief: 6.

and/or any other relief to which Defendant/Movant may be entitled.

Not Applicable Signature of Attorney (if any)

#### Movant's Declaration

- I am the Defendant/Movant in this action, and I understand that submission of A. a false statement or false answer to any question may subject me to penalties for perjury. I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read this Motion and that the information contained in the Motion is true and correct. 28 U.S.C. § 1746; 18 U.S.C. § 1621.
- I will keep the Court informed of my current mailing address. I understand that В. failure to do so may result in dismissal of this Motion without actual notice to me.
- Incarcerated Prisoners Only: This Motion was deposited in the prison system C. for legal mail on:

the May of June July Signature of Defendant/Movant Revised Aug. 2012